

KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP

KIRKLAND & ELLIS INTERNATIONAL LLP

Joshua A. Sussberg, P.C. (*pro hac vice* pending)

Matthew C. Fagen, P.C. (*pro hac vice* pending)

Oliver Paré (*pro hac vice* pending)

601 Lexington Avenue

New York, New York 10022

Telephone: (212) 446-4800

Facsimile: (212) 446-4900

joshua.sussberg@kirkland.com

matthew.fagen@kirkland.com

oliver.pare@kirkland.com

COLE SCHOTZ P.C.

Michael D. Sirota, Esq.

Warren A. Usatine, Esq.

Felice R. Yudkin, Esq.

Court Plaza North, 25 Main Street

Hackensack, New Jersey 07601

Telephone: (201) 489-3000

msirota@coleschotz.com

wusatine@coleschotz.com

fyudkin@coleschotz.com

*Proposed Co-Counsel to the Debtors and
the Debtors in Possession*

*Proposed Co-Counsel to the Debtors and
the Debtors in Possession*

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY**

In re:

EDDIE BAUER LLC, *et al.*,

Debtors.¹

Chapter 11

Case No. 26-11422 (SLM)

(Jointly Administered)

**NOTICE OF HEARING ON DEBTORS' MOTION
FOR ENTRY OF AN ORDER (I) AUTHORIZING THE
REJECTION OF CERTAIN EXECUTORY CONTRACTS EFFECTIVE
AS OF THE PETITION DATE AND (II) GRANTING RELATED RELIEF**

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that, on **March 3, 2026, at 1:00 p.m. (prevailing Eastern Time)**, or as soon thereafter as counsel may be heard, the above-captioned debtors and debtors in possession (the "Debtors"), by and through their undersigned proposed counsel, shall move the *Debtors' Motion for Entry of an Order (I) Authorizing the Rejection of Certain Executory*

¹ The last four digits of Debtor Eddie Bauer LLC's tax identification number are 6060. A complete list of each of the Debtors in these chapter 11 cases may be obtained on the website of the Debtors' claims and noticing agent at <https://cases.stretto.com/EddieBauer>. The location of Debtor Eddie Bauer LLC's principal place of business is 10401 Northeast 8th Street, Suite 500, Bellevue, WA 98004; the Debtors' service address in these chapter 11 cases is 6501 Legacy Drive, Suite B100, Plano, TX 75024.

Contracts Effective as of the Petition Date and (II) Granting Related Relief (the “Motion”) before the Honorable Judge Stacey L. Meisel, United States Bankruptcy Court Judge, in Courtroom 3A of the United States Bankruptcy Court for the District of New Jersey, Martin Luther King, Jr. Federal Building, 50 Walnut Street, Newark, NJ 07102 (the “Court”), for entry of an order (the “Order”) substantially in the form submitted herewith, authorizing the rejection of certain executory contracts identified on Schedule 1 to the Order.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that, in support of the relief requested in the Motion, the Debtors shall rely on the accompanying Motion, which sets forth the relevant legal and factual bases upon which the relief requested should be granted. A proposed Order granting the relief requested in the Motion is also submitted herewith.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that objections, if any, to the relief requested in the Motion shall: (a) be in writing; (b) state with particularity the basis of the objection; and (c) be filed with the Clerk of the United States Bankruptcy Court electronically by attorneys who regularly practice before the Court in accordance with the *General Order Regarding Electronic Means for Filing, Signing, and Verification of Documents dated March 27, 2002* (the “General Order”) and the *Commentary Supplementing Administrative Procedures dated as of March 2004* (the “Supplemental Commentary”) (the General Order, the Supplemental Commentary, and the User’s Manual for the Electronic Case Filing System can be found at www.njb.uscourts.gov, the official website for the Court) and, by all other parties in interest, on CD-ROM in Portable Document Format (PDF), and shall be served in accordance with the General Order and the Supplemental Commentary, so as to be received no later than seven (7) days before the hearing date set forth above.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that copies of all documents filed in these chapter 11 cases may be obtained free of charge by visiting the website of Stretto, Inc. at <https://cases.stretto.com/EddieBauer>. You may also obtain copies of any pleadings by visiting the Court's website at <https://www.njb.uscourts.gov> in accordance with the procedures and fees set forth therein.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that, unless objections are timely filed and served, the Motion shall be decided on the papers in accordance with D.N.J. LBR 9013-3(d) and the relief requested may be granted without further notice or hearing.

[Remainder of Page Intentionally Left Blank]

Dated: February 11, 2026

/s/ Michael D. Sirota

COLE SCHOTZ P.C.

Michael D. Sirota, Esq.

Warren A. Usatine, Esq.

Felice R. Yudkin, Esq.

Court Plaza North, 25 Main Street

Hackensack, New Jersey 07601

Telephone: (201) 489-3000

Email: msirota@coleschotz.com
 wusatine@coleschotz.com
 fyudkin@coleschotz.com

- and -

KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP

KIRKLAND & ELLIS INTERNATIONAL LLP

Joshua A. Sussberg, P.C. (*pro hac vice* pending)

Matthew C. Fagen, P.C. (*pro hac vice* pending)

Oliver Paré (*pro hac vice* pending)

601 Lexington Avenue

New York, New York 10022

Telephone: (212) 446-4800

Facsimile: (212) 446-4900

Email: joshua.sussberg@kirkland.com
 matthew.fagen@kirkland.com
 oliver.pare@kirkland.com

*Proposed Co-Counsel to the Debtors and
Debtors in Possession*

KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP

KIRKLAND & ELLIS INTERNATIONAL LLP

Joshua A. Sussberg, P.C. (*pro hac vice* pending)

Matthew C. Fagen, P.C. (*pro hac vice* pending)

Oliver Paré (*pro hac vice* pending)

601 Lexington Avenue

New York, New York 10022

Telephone: (212) 446-4800

Facsimile: (212) 446-4900

joshua.sussberg@kirkland.com

matthew.fagen@kirkland.com

oliver.pare@kirkland.com

COLE SCHOTZ P.C.

Michael D. Sirota, Esq.

Warren A. Usatine, Esq.

Felice R. Yudkin, Esq.

Court Plaza North, 25 Main Street

Hackensack, New Jersey 07601

Telephone: (201) 489-3000

msirota@coleschotz.com

wusatine@coleschotz.com

fyudkin@coleschotz.com

*Proposed Co-Counsel to the Debtors and
the Debtors in Possession*

*Proposed Co-Counsel to the Debtors and
the Debtors in Possession*

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY**

In re:

EDDIE BAUER LLC, *et al.*,

Debtors.¹

Chapter 11

Case No. 26-11422 (SLM)

(Jointly Administered)

**DEBTORS' MOTION
FOR ENTRY OF AN ORDER (I) AUTHORIZING THE
REJECTION OF CERTAIN EXECUTORY CONTRACTS EFFECTIVE
AS OF THE PETITION DATE AND (II) GRANTING RELATED RELIEF**

TO THE HONORABLE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE:

The above-captioned debtors and debtors in possession (collectively, the “Debtors”) state the following in support of this motion (this “Motion”):²

¹ The last four digits of Debtor Eddie Bauer LLC’s tax identification number are 6060. A complete list of each of the Debtors in these chapter 11 cases may be obtained on the website of the Debtors’ claims and noticing agent at <https://cases.stretto.com/EddieBauer>. The location of Debtor Eddie Bauer LLC’s principal place of business is 10401 Northeast 8th Street, Suite 500, Bellevue, WA 98004; the Debtors’ service address in these chapter 11 cases is 6501 Legacy Drive, Suite B100, Plano, TX 75024.

² A description of the Debtors and their business, as well as the facts and circumstances supporting this Motion and giving rise to the Debtors’ chapter 11 cases, is set forth in greater detail in the *Declaration of Stephen Coulombe, Co-Chief Restructuring Officer of Eddie Bauer LLC and its Affiliates, in Support of Chapter 11 Petitions and First Day Motions* [Docket No. 35] (the “First Day Declaration”). Capitalized terms used but not otherwise defined in this Motion shall have the meanings ascribed to them in the First Day Declaration.

Relief Requested

1. The Debtors seek entry of an order, substantially in the form attached hereto as **Exhibit A** (the “Order”): (a) authorizing the rejection of certain executory contracts (collectively, the “Contracts”) identified on Schedule 1 to the Order (the “Rejection Schedule”); and (b) granting related relief.

Jurisdiction and Venue

2. The United States Bankruptcy Court for the District of New Jersey (the “Court”) has jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 157 and 1334 and the *Standing Order of Reference to the Bankruptcy Court Under Title 11*, entered July 23, 1984, and amended on June 6, 2025 (Bumb, C.J.). The Debtors confirm their consent to the Court’s entry of a final order in connection with this Motion to the extent that it is later determined that the Court, absent consent of the parties, cannot enter final orders or judgments in connection herewith consistent with Article III of the United States Constitution.

3. Venue is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409.

4. The bases for the relief requested herein are sections 105(a) and 365(a) of title 11 of the United States Code, 11 U.S.C. §§ 101–1532 (the “Bankruptcy Code”), rule 6006 of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure (the “Bankruptcy Rules”), and rule 9013-1 of the Local Rules of the United States Bankruptcy Court for the District of New Jersey, dated August 1, 2025 (the “Bankruptcy Local Rules”).

Background

5. On February 9, 2026 (the “Petition Date”), each of the Debtors filed a voluntary petition for relief under chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code. On February 10, 2026, the Court entered an order directing the procedural consolidation and joint administration of these chapter 11 cases pursuant to Bankruptcy Rule 1015(b) [Docket No. 56]. The Debtors are operating their

business and managing their properties as debtors in possession pursuant to sections 1107(a) and 1108 of the Bankruptcy Code. No request for the appointment of a trustee or examiner has been made in these chapter 11 cases, and no official committees have been appointed or designated.

Contracts to Be Rejected

6. In the weeks immediately preceding these chapter 11 cases, the Debtors, with the assistance of their advisors, undertook a comprehensive review of their Contracts to identify those Contracts that are no longer necessary or that are otherwise burdensome on the Debtors' estates, and that, in either case, warrant rejection. Specifically, as described in greater detail in the First Day Declaration, prior to the Petition Date, the Debtors entered into a license termination agreement whereby they agreed to terminate their right to use certain intellectual property in connection with their e-commerce and wholesale business channels. Such agreement became effective on January 31, 2026. As a result, there are a number of Contracts related to the Debtors' legacy e-commerce and wholesale businesses that are no longer of any utility to the Debtors since they relate to business units the Debtors no longer operate and the counterparties to such Contracts are therefore not entitled to any administrative expense claims related to such Contracts. Nevertheless the Debtors seek to reject these agreements out of an abundance of caution and to preempt any argument that the Debtors are deriving a benefit from the Contracts and/or that the counterparties to such Contracts are entitled to administrative expense claims. Accordingly, rejecting the Contracts represents a sound exercise of the Debtors' business judgment and will maximize the value of the Debtors' estates. Accordingly, the Debtors request that the Court approve the rejection of such Contracts.

Basis for Relief

I. Rejection of the Contracts Reflects the Debtors' Sound Business Judgment.

7. Section 365(a) of the Bankruptcy Code provides that a debtor in possession, “subject to the court’s approval, may . . . reject any executory contract . . . of the debtor.” The decision to assume or reject an executory contract is a matter within the “business judgment” of the debtor. *See Nat’l Labor Relations Bd. v. Bildisco & Bildisco (In re Bildisco)*, 682 F.2d 72, 79 (3d Cir. 1982) (“The usual test for rejection of an executory contract is simply whether rejection would benefit the estate, the ‘business judgment’ test.” (citation omitted)); *see also Glenstone Lodge, Inc. v. Buckhead Am. Corp. (In re Buckhead Am. Corp.)*, 180 B.R. 83, 88 (Bankr. D. Del. 1995). Application of the business judgment standard requires a court to approve a debtor’s business decision unless the decision is the product of bad faith, whim, or caprice. *See, e.g., In re HQ Glob. Holdings, Inc.*, 290 B.R. 507, 511–12 (Bankr. D. Del. 2003). Further, “[t]his provision allows a trustee to relieve the bankruptcy estate of burdensome agreements which have not been completely performed.” *Stewart Title Guar. Co. v. Old Republic Nat’l Title Ins. Co.*, 83 F.3d 735, 741 (5th Cir. 1996) (citation omitted).

8. Rejection of a contract is appropriate where such rejection would benefit the estate. *See Sharon Steel Corp. v. Nat’l Fuel Gas Distrib. Corp. (In re Sharon Steel Corp.)*, 872 F.2d 36, 39–40 (3d Cir. 1989). Upon finding that a debtor has exercised its sound business judgment in determining that rejection of certain contracts is in the best interests of its creditors and all parties in interest, a court should approve the rejection under section 365(a). *See Mission Prod. Holdings, Inc. v. Tempnology, LLC*, 139 S. Ct. 1652, 1658 (2019) (stating that the bankruptcy court will generally approve a debtor’s choice to assume or reject an executory contract under the deferential “business judgment rule”); *In re Nickels Midway Pier, LLC*, 332 B.R. 262, 271 (Bankr. D.N.J. 2005), *aff’d in part, rev’d in part and remanded*, 341 B.R. 486 (D.N.J. 2006), *aff’d*, 255 F. App’x

633 (3d Cir. 2007) (stating that a bankruptcy court should defer to a debtor's decision to reject a contract unless it is so unreasonable that the decision could only be based on bad faith or whim); *In re Cent. Jersey Airport Servs., LLC*, 282 B.R. 176, 183 (Bankr. D.N.J. 2002) (stating that to satisfy the "business judgment test" for rejecting executory contracts, the debtor must establish that the rejection will benefit the estate); *In re Summit Land Co.*, 13 B.R. 310, 315 (Bankr. D. Utah 1981) (holding that absent extraordinary circumstances, court approval of a debtor's decision to assume or reject an executory contract "should be granted as a matter of course").

9. After evaluation and analysis, the Debtors, with the assistance of their advisors, have determined that the rejected Contracts will no longer be of value or benefit to the Debtors, while continuing to be bound to the rejected Contracts may cause the estates to expend time and resources addressing disputes with the counterparties who may argue that they are entitled to administrative expenses on account of the Contracts. On the other hand, the rejected Contracts relate to the e-commerce and wholesale business segments, which the Debtors no longer operate, and therefore provide no benefit, and cannot be efficiently administered by the Debtors' estates during the pendency of these chapter 11 cases.

10. Rejection of the Contracts is well within the Debtors' business judgment and is in the best interest of their estates.

11. For the foregoing reasons, the Debtors have decided, in the sound exercise of their business judgment, to reject the Contracts. Accordingly, the Debtors respectfully request that the Bankruptcy Court authorize the rejection of the Contracts pursuant to section 365(a) of the Bankruptcy Code.

II. Rejection of the Contracts Effective as of the Petition Date Is Appropriate.

12. Section 365 of the Bankruptcy Code does not restrict a bankruptcy court from applying rejection retroactively. *See In re Jamesway Corp.*, 179 B.R. 33, 37 (S.D.N.Y. 1995)

(stating that section 365 does not include “restrictions as to the manner in which the court can approve rejection”); *see also In re CCI Wireless, LLC*, 297 B.R. 133, 138 (D. Colo. 2003) (noting that section 365 “does not prohibit the bankruptcy court from allowing the rejection of [leases] to apply retroactively”). Courts have held that a bankruptcy court may, in its discretion, authorize rejection retroactively to a date prior to entry of an order authorizing such rejection where the balance of equities favors such relief. *See In re Thinking Machs. Corp.*, 67 F.3d 1021, 1028–29 (1st Cir. 1995) (stating that “rejection under section 365(a) does not take effect until judicial approval is secured, but the approving court has the equitable power, in suitable cases, to order a rejection to operate retroactively”); *In re Chi-Chi’s, Inc.*, 305 B.R. 396, 399 (Bankr. D. Del. 2004) (stating “the court’s power to grant retroactive relief is derived from the bankruptcy court’s equitable powers so long as it promotes the purposes of § 365(a)”); *CCI Wireless*, 297 B.R. at 140 (holding that a “court has authority under section 365(d)(3) to set the effective date of rejection at least as early as the filing date of the motion to reject”); *BP Energy Co. v. Bethlehem Steel Corp. (In re Bethlehem Steel Corp.)*, No. 03-6419, at *3 (S.D.N.Y. Nov. 15, 2002) (“We cannot conclude . . . that a bankruptcy court’s assignment of a retroactive rejection date falls outside of its authority when the balance of the equities favors this solution.”); *see also In re At Home Corp.*, 392 F.3d 1064, 1065–66 (9th Cir. 2004) (holding “that a bankruptcy court may approve retroactively the rejection of an unexpired nonresidential lease”). In considering whether to approve retroactive rejection, courts examine a number of factors and generally approve retroactive rejection where it promotes the purposes of section 365(a) of the Bankruptcy Code. *See In re Chi-Chi’s, Inc.*, 305 B.R. at 399 (“[T]he court’s power to grant retroactive relief is derived from the bankruptcy court’s equitable powers so long as it promotes the purposes of § 365(a). . . .

only after balancing the equities in a particular case, should the court approve a retroactive rejection of nonresidential lease.”).

13. The balance of equities favors rejection of the Contracts effective as of the Petition Date (or such other date as agreed upon with the Contract counterparty, the “Rejection Date”). Without such relief, the Debtors will incur unnecessary administrative expenses related to such Contracts, which do not provide a net benefit to the Debtors’ estates. Given that the Debtors are not seeking any performance under the Contracts from and after the Petition Date, the counterparties to the Contracts will not be unduly prejudiced if the rejection is deemed effective as of the Rejection Date. Contemporaneously with the filing of this Motion, the Debtors will cause notice of this Motion to be served on the counterparties to the Contracts, thereby allowing such party sufficient opportunity to respond accordingly. The Debtors have sought the relief requested at the earliest possible moment in these chapter 11 cases as soon as they determined that the rejection of the Contracts, as of the Petition Date, was in the best interests of their estates and do not seek to reject the Contracts effective as of the Rejection Date due to any undue delay on their own part.

14. Courts in this district have granted relief similar to the relief requested herein in other chapter 11 case. *See, e.g., In re Thrasio Holdings, Inc.*, No. 2411840 (CMG) (Bankr. D.N.J. Apr. 04, 2024) (authorizing rejection of leases and executory contracts effective as of a specified prior date); *In re Invitae Corp.*, No. 24-11362 (MBK) (Bankr. D.N.J. Mar. 17, 2024) (authorizing rejection of leases effective as of a specified prior date); *In re Rite Aid Corp.*, No. 23-18993 (MBK) (Bankr. D.N.J. Aug. 15, 2024) (same); *In re WeWork Inc.*, No. 23-19865 (JKS) (Bankr. D.N.J.

Nov. 29, 2023) (same); *In re Cyxtera Techs., Inc.*, No. 23-14853 (JKS) (Bankr. D.N.J. July 19, 2023) (same).³

15. Accordingly, the Debtors respectfully submit that the Court should deem the Contracts rejected effective as of the Rejection Date.

Reservation of Rights

16. Notwithstanding anything to the contrary herein, nothing contained in this Motion or any actions taken pursuant to any order granting the relief requested by this Motion is intended or should be construed as: (a) an implication or admission as to the amount of, basis for, or validity of any particular claim against the Debtors under the Bankruptcy Code or other applicable nonbankruptcy law; (b) a waiver of the Debtors' or any other party in interest's rights to dispute any particular claim on any grounds; (c) a promise or requirement to pay any particular claim; (d) an implication, admission, or finding that any particular claim is an administrative expense claim, other priority claim, or otherwise of a type specified or defined in this Motion or any order granting the relief requested by this Motion; (e) an admission by the Debtors as to the validity, priority, enforceability, or perfection of any lien (contractual, common law, statutory, or otherwise) on, security interest in, or other encumbrance on property of the Debtors' estates and the rights of all parties in interest are expressly reserved to contest the extent, validity, or perfection or seek avoidance of all such liens; (f) a waiver or limitation of the Debtors' or any other party in interest's claims, causes of action, or other rights under the Bankruptcy Code or any other applicable law; or (g) a waiver of the obligation of any party in interest to file a proof of claim.

³ Because of the voluminous nature of the orders cited herein, such orders have not been attached to this Motion. Copies of these orders are available upon request to the Debtors' proposed counsel.

No Prior Request

17. No prior request for the relief sought in this Motion has been made to this Court or any other court.

Notice

18. The Debtors will provide notice of this Motion to the following parties or their respective counsel: (a) the U.S. Trustee for the District of New Jersey; (b) the holders of the thirty largest unsecured claims against the Debtors (on a consolidated basis); (c) the state attorneys general for the states in which the Debtors conduct business; (d) the United States Attorney's Office for the District of New Jersey; (e) the Internal Revenue Service; (f) Otterbourg P.C., counsel to the Consenting ABL Lenders; (g) Ropes & Gray LLP, counsel to the Consenting Term Loan Lenders; (h) the counterparties to the Contracts on the Rejection Schedule; and (i) any party that has requested notice pursuant to Bankruptcy Rule 2002. The Debtors submit that, in light of the nature of the relief requested, no other or further notice need be given.

[Remainder of Page Intentionally Left Blank]

WHEREFORE, the Debtors request that the Court enter the Order granting the relief requested herein and such other relief the Court deems appropriate under the circumstances.

Dated: February 11, 2026

/s/ Michael D. Sirota

COLE SCHOTZ P.C.

Michael D. Sirota, Esq.
Warren A. Usatine, Esq.
Felice R. Yudkin, Esq.
Court Plaza North, 25 Main Street
Hackensack, New Jersey 07601
Telephone: (201) 489-3000
msirota@coleschotz.com
wusatine@coleschotz.com
fyudkin@coleschotz.com

*Proposed Co-Counsel to the Debtors and
the Debtors in Possession*

KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP

KIRKLAND & ELLIS INTERNATIONAL LLP

Joshua A. Sussberg, P.C. (*pro hac vice* pending)
Matthew C. Fagen, P.C. (*pro hac vice* pending)
Oliver Paré (*pro hac vice* pending)
601 Lexington Avenue
New York, New York 10022
Telephone: (212) 446-4800
Facsimile: (212) 446-4900
joshua.sussberg@kirkland.com
matthew.fagen@kirkland.com
oliver.pare@kirkland.com

*Proposed Co-Counsel to the Debtors and
the Debtors in Possession*

Exhibit A

Proposed Order

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY**

Caption in Compliance with D.N.J. LBR 9004-1(b)

KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP

KIRKLAND & ELLIS INTERNATIONAL LLP

Joshua A. Sussberg, P.C. (*pro hac vice* pending)

Matthew C. Fagen, P.C. (*pro hac vice* pending)

Oliver Paré (*pro hac vice* pending)

601 Lexington Avenue

New York, New York 10022

Telephone: (212) 446-4800

Facsimile: (212) 446-4900

joshua.sussberg@kirkland.com

matthew.fagen@kirkland.com

oliver.pare@kirkland.com

-and-

COLE SCHOTZ P.C.

Michael D. Sirota, Esq.

Warren A. Usatine, Esq.

Felice R. Yudkin, Esq.

Court Plaza North, 25 Main Street

Hackensack, New Jersey 07601

Telephone: (201) 489-3000

msirota@coleschotz.com

wusatine@coleschotz.com

fyudkin@coleschotz.com

*Proposed Co-Counsel to the Debtors and
the Debtors in Possession*

In re:

EDDIE BAUER LLC, *et al.*,

Debtors.¹

Chapter 11

Case No. 26-11422 (SLM)

(Jointly Administered)

¹ The last four digits of Debtor Eddie Bauer LLC's tax identification number are 6060. A complete list of each of the Debtors in these chapter 11 cases may be obtained on the website of the Debtors' claims and noticing agent at <https://cases.stretto.com/EddieBauer>. The location of Debtor Eddie Bauer LLC's principal place of business is 10401 Northeast 8th Street, Suite 500, Bellevue, WA 98004; the Debtors' service address in these chapter 11 cases is 6501 Legacy Drive, Suite B100, Plano, TX 75024.

**ORDER (I) AUTHORIZING THE REJECTION
OF CERTAIN EXECUTORY CONTRACTS EFFECTIVE
AS OF THE PETITION DATE AND (II) GRANTING RELATED RELIEF**

The relief set forth on the following pages, numbered three (3) through six (6), is
ORDERED.

(Page | 3)

Debtors: EDDIE BAUER LLC, *et al.*

Case No. 26-11422 (SLM)

Caption of Order: Order (I) Authorizing the Rejection of Certain Executory Contracts Effective as of the Petition Date and (II) Granting Related Relief

Upon the Debtors' motion (the "Motion")² for entry of an order (this "Order"): (a) authorizing the rejection of certain executory contracts identified on **Schedule 1** to this Order; and (b) granting related relief, all as more fully set forth in the Motion; and upon the First Day Declaration; and this Court having jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 157 and 1334 and the *Standing Order of Reference to the Bankruptcy Court Under Title 11* of the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey, entered July 23, 1984, and amended on June 6, 2025 (Bumb, C.J.); and this Court having found that this is a core proceeding pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 157(b); and this Court having found that it may enter a final order consistent with Article III of the United States Constitution; and this Court having found that venue of this proceeding and the Motion in this district is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409; and this Court having found that the Debtors' notice of the Motion and opportunity for a hearing on the Motion were appropriate and no other notice need be provided; and this Court having reviewed the Motion and having heard the statements in support of the relief requested therein at a hearing before this Court (the "Hearing"), if any; and this Court having determined that the legal and factual bases set forth in the Motion and at the Hearing, if any, establish just cause for the relief granted herein; and upon all of the proceedings had before this Court; and after due deliberation and sufficient cause appearing therefor, **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:**

1. The Motion is **GRANTED** on a final basis as set forth herein.

² Capitalized terms used but not otherwise defined herein have the meanings ascribed to them in the Motion.

(Page | 4)

Debtors: EDDIE BAUER LLC, *et al.*

Case No. 26-11422 (SLM)

Caption of Order: Order (I) Authorizing the Rejection of Certain Executory Contracts Effective as of the Petition Date and (II) Granting Related Relief

2. Pursuant to section 365 of the Bankruptcy Code, each of the Contracts set forth on **Schedule 1** attached hereto is rejected effective as of the Petition Date (or such other date as agreed upon with the Contract counterparty, the “Rejection Date”).

3. The Debtors shall not be liable for any administrative expenses arising after the Rejection Date with respect to the Contracts on the Rejection Schedule.

4. The Debtors may agree with an affected Contract counterparty, through written confirmation (which may be by e-mail through counsel), to alternative Rejection Dates later than the dates set forth in the Rejection Schedule.

5. The Debtors do not waive any claims that they may have against any counterparty to the Contracts, whether such claims arise under, are related to the rejection of, or are independent of the Contracts.

6. Nothing herein shall prejudice the rights of the Debtors to argue that any of the Contracts were terminated prior to the Petition Date or that any claim for damages arising from the rejection of the Contracts is limited to the remedies available under any applicable termination provision of such contract, or that any such claim is an obligation of a third party and not that of the Debtors or their estates.

7. Claims, if any, arising out of the rejection of a Contract must be filed on or before the later of (a) the deadline for filing proofs of claim established in these chapter 11 cases and (b) thirty days after the date of entry of this Order. If no proof of claim is timely filed, such claimant shall be forever barred from asserting a claim for damages arising from the rejection of such Contract.

(Page | 5)

Debtors: EDDIE BAUER LLC, *et al.*

Case No. 26-11422 (SLM)

Caption of Order: Order (I) Authorizing the Rejection of Certain Executory Contracts Effective as of the Petition Date and (II) Granting Related Relief

8. Notwithstanding anything to the contrary herein, nothing contained in the Motion or any actions taken pursuant to this Order granting the relief requested by the Motion is intended as or should be construed or deemed to be: (a) an implication or admission as to the amount of, basis for, priority of, or validity of any particular claim against a Debtor entity under the Bankruptcy Code or other applicable nonbankruptcy law; (b) a waiver of the Debtors' rights to dispute any claim on any grounds; (c) a promise or requirement to pay any particular claim; (d) an implication or admission that any particular claim is of a type specified or defined in the Motion or any order granting the relief requested by the Motion or a finding that any particular claim is an administrative expense claim or other priority claim; (e) an admission by the Debtors as to the validity, priority, enforceability, or perfection of any lien on, security interest in, or other encumbrance on property of the Debtors' estates; (f) a waiver or limitation of the Debtors' rights under the Bankruptcy Code or any other applicable law; or (g) a waiver of the obligation of any party in interest to file a proof of claim. Nothing contained in this Order shall be deemed to increase, reclassify, elevate to an administrative expense status, or otherwise affect any claim on account of such claim not being paid.

9. Notwithstanding Bankruptcy Rule 2002(a)(2), to the extent applicable, the Debtors may limit service of the Motion only to the core service list and affected creditors. Notice of the Motion as provided therein shall be deemed good and sufficient notice of such Motion and the requirements of the Bankruptcy Rules and the Bankruptcy Local Rules are satisfied by such notice.

10. The Debtors are authorized to take all actions necessary to effectuate the relief granted pursuant to this Order in accordance with the Motion.

(Page | 6)

Debtors: EDDIE BAUER LLC, *et al.*

Case No. 26-11422 (SLM)

Caption of Order: Order (I) Authorizing the Rejection of Certain Executory Contracts Effective as of the Petition Date and (II) Granting Related Relief

11. This Court retains jurisdiction with respect to all matters arising from or related to the implementation, interpretation, and enforcement of this Order.

12. Notwithstanding anything in the Bankruptcy Rules or the Bankruptcy Local Rules, the terms and conditions of this Order are immediately effective and enforceable upon its entry.

[Remainder of Page Intentionally Left Blank]

Schedule 1

Rejected Contracts

No.	Counterparty	Debtor	Contract Description	Rejection Effective Date
1.	Stylescape Limited	Eddie Bauer LLC	Renewal Order Form, dated January 1, 2023	Petition Date
2.	Id.me, Inc.	Eddie Bauer LLC	Order Form, dated November 8, 2024	Petition Date
3.	Logistyx Technologies, LLC	Eddie Bauer LLC	Master SaaS Agreement, dated March 29, 2022	Petition Date
4.	Optimizely North America Inc.	Eddie Bauer LLC	Master Subscription Agreement, dated July 31, 2020	Petition Date
5.	PowerReviews, Inc.	Eddie Bauer LLC	Order From, dated September 1, 2025	Petition Date
6.	Salesforce, Inc.	Eddie Bauer LLC	Order Form, dated December 23, 2025	Petition Date
7.	Talon.One GmbH	Eddie Bauer LLC	Master SaaS Agreement, dated April 1, 2021	Petition Date